

Quanten-Informatik und theoretische Quantenoptik II

Sommersemester 2010

Carsten Henkel

Problem set 2

Hand out: 30 April 2010

hand in: 17 Mai 2010

Problem 2.1 – Some algebraic properties of Lindblad operators (5 points)

(a) Show that for a two-level system, the Lindblad superoperator

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = \gamma L \rho L^\dagger - \frac{\gamma}{2} \{ \rho L^\dagger L + L^\dagger L \rho \} \quad (2.1)$$

commutes with the unitary operator $U = \exp(i\theta\sigma_3)$ in the following sense

$$U \mathcal{L}(\rho) U^\dagger = \mathcal{L}(U \rho U^\dagger) \quad (2.2)$$

for all three choices of Lindblad operators $L = \sigma, \sigma^\dagger, \sigma_3$.

(b) You know that the operators $\sigma, \sigma^\dagger, \sigma_3$ form a closed (Lie-)Algebra in the sense that the commutator $[A, B]$ of any two $A, B \in \{\sigma, \sigma^\dagger, \sigma_3\}$ is a linear combination of these operators. Is this still true for the “dissipative algebra” (with $L = \sigma$)

$$(L, L^\dagger, A) \mapsto [L^\dagger, A]L + L^\dagger[A, L] \quad (2.3)$$

that appears in a positive map? What happens for a harmonic oscillator with $L = a$ if you take as observable algebra the one generated by a, a^\dagger ? Or by $a, a^\dagger, a^\dagger a$?

Answer for the spin: the dissipative algebra is not closed, one has to augment it by one operator. Which one?

Problem 2.2 – Decoherence of two-level systems (5 points)

(a) Compare the ground state of the atom-field Hamiltonian

$$H_{AL} = \frac{\hbar(\omega_A - \omega_L)}{2} \sigma_3 + \frac{\hbar}{2} (\Omega^* \sigma + \Omega \sigma^\dagger) \quad (2.4)$$

with the stationary solution of the Bloch equations obtained in the last problem set. Why is there a difference?

(b) Consider a two-level system prepared in a superposition state $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|g\rangle + |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Show that $\langle\sigma(0)\rangle := \langle\psi(0)|\sigma|\psi(0)\rangle$ is not zero and argue that this quantity characterizes the “degree of superposition” of the state. Calculate from the Bloch equations (no laser field, for simplicity) the time evolution $\langle\sigma(t)\rangle$ and compare to the spontaneous decay of $p_e(t) = \text{tr}(|e\rangle\langle e|\rho(t))$.

Problem 2.3 – Repeated measurements (5 points)

Consider the following positive map for a two-level system

$$T(\rho) = p\rho + q\sigma_3\rho\sigma_3 \quad (2.5)$$

Fix the coefficients p and q by trace conservation. Calculate the n -fold iteration $T^n(\rho)$ and the expectation values $\langle\sigma_3\rangle_n = \text{tr}[\sigma_3 T^n(\rho)]$ and $\langle\sigma\rangle_n$. Interpretation?

Problem 2.4 – Kraus-Stinespring theorem (5 points)

(a) Show that a map in Kraus-Stinespring form,

$$T(\rho) = \sum_k \Omega_k \rho \Omega_k^\dagger, \quad \sum_k \Omega_k^\dagger \Omega_k = \mathbb{1} \quad (2.6)$$

is completely positive.

(b) Show that the operators Ω_k constructed in the proof of the Kraus-Stinespring theorem satisfy the “completeness relation” (2nd equation in Eq.(2.6)).